### Queue ADT

Tiziana Ligorio

### Today's Plan



Announcements

Queue ADT

Applications

A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line

or dequeued from the front of the line

34

A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line

A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line



A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line

A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line

A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line

A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line

A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line

A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line

A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line

A data structure representing a waiting line

Objects can be enqueued to the back of the line

or dequeued from the front of the line

#### FIFO: First In First Out

Only front of queue is accessible (front), no other objects in the queue are visible

### Queue Applications

Generating all substrings

Recognizing Palindromes

Any waiting queue

- Print jobs
- OS scheduling processes with equal priority
- Messages between asynchronous processes

### Queue Applications

Generating all substrings

Any waiting queue

- Print jobs
- OS scheduling processes with equal priority
- Messages between asynchronous processes

• • •

# Generating all substrings

Generate all possible strings up to some fixed length n with repetition (same character included multiple times)

We saw how to do something similar recursively (generate permutations of fixed size n no repetition)

How might we do it with a queue?

Example simplified to n = 2 and only letters A and B





















































### Breadth-First Search

Applications Find shortest path in graph GPS navigation systems Crawlers in search engines

Generally good when looking for the "shortest" or "best" way to do something => lists things in increasing order of "size" stopping at the "shortest" solution

```
Size of Substring
findAllSubstrings(int n)
ł
    put empty string on the queue
    while(queue is not empty){
        let current_string = dequeue and add to result
        if(size of current_string < n){</pre>
            for(each character ch)//every character in alphabet
                append ch to current_string and enqueue it
    }
    return result;
}
```

# Analysis

Ζ

Finding all substrings (with repetition) of size up to n

Assume alphabet (A, B, ..., Z) of size 26

The empty string= 1= 26<sup>o</sup> ""

All strings of size  $1 = 26^{1}$ 

All strings of size  $2 = 26^2$ 

AA	BA	CA		ZA
AB	BC	СВ	•••	ZB
• • •				
AZ	ΒZ	CZ		ZZ

С

Β

Α

All strings of size  $n = 26^{n}$ 

• • •

With repetition: I have 26 options for each of the n characters
## Lecture Activity

Analyze the worst-case time

complexity of this algorithm

assuming alphabet of size 26

Size of Substring

**Removes 1 string from the queue** 

Loop until queue is empty and dequeue only 1 each time. So the question becomes: How many strings are enqueued in total?

**Removes 1 string from the queue** 

**Removes 1 string from the queue** 

## $T(n) = 26^0 + 26^1 + 26^2 + \dots 26^n$

```
findAllSubs:/rings(int n)
                                              Adds 26 strings to the queue
    put empty string on the queue
    while(queue is not empty){
        let current_string = dequeue and add to result
        if(size of current_string < n){</pre>
            for(each character ch)//every character in alphabet
                 append ch to current_string and enqueue it
    }
    return result;
```

### $T(n) = 26^0 + 26^1 + 26^2 + \dots 26^n$

**Removes 1 string from the queue** 



**Removes 1 string from the queue** 

### Let n = 3, alphabet still {'A', 'B'}





### Let n = 3, alphabet still {'A', 'B'} 66 77 "A" **"B"** "**AA**" **"AB**" **"BA" "BB"** "BAB" "AAB" "BBA" "ABA" "BAA" **"BBB** "ABB" "AAA"



### Let n = 3, alphabet still {'A','B'} 66 77 "**A**" **"B" "AA**" **"AB"** "BA" **"BB**" "AAB" "BAA" "BAB" "BBA" "ABA" **"BBB** "AAA" "ABB"



### Let n = 3, alphabet still {'A','B'} 66 77 "A" **"B"** "**A**A" **"AB"** "BA" **"BB**" "BAB" "BBA" "ABA" "BAA" "AAB" **"BBB** "AAA" "ABB"



### Let n = 3, alphabet still {'A', 'B'} 66 77 "A" **"B"** "**A**A" **"AB"** "BA" **"BB**" "BAB" "AAB" "BAA" "BBA" "AAA" "ABA" **"BBB** "ABB"

"AB"	"BB"	"AAA"	"AAB"	"ABA"	"ABB"	
------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--

### Let n = 3, alphabet still {'A', 'B'} 66 77 "A" **"B"** "**A**A" **"BA" "AB" "BB**" "BAB" "BAA" "BBA" "AAA" "AAB" "ABA" **"BBB** "ABB"

"BA" "BB" "AAA"	"AAB" "ABA"	"ABB" "BAA"	"BAB"
-----------------	-------------	-------------	-------

### Let n = 3, alphabet still {'A', 'B'} 66 77 "A" **"B"** "**A**A" **"AB" "BA" "BB**" "BAB" "BAA" "BBA" "AAB" "ABA" **"BBB** "ABB" "AAA"

"BB"	"AAB"	"ABA"	"ABB"	"BAA"	"BAB"	
------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--









# Memory Usage

With alphabet {'A', 'B', ..., 'Z'}, at some point we end up with 26<sup>n</sup> strings in memory

Size of string on my machine = 24 bytes

Running this algorithm for n = 7 ( $\approx 193$ GB) is the maximum that can be handled by a standard personal computer

Massive

Space

requirement

For  $n = 8 \approx 5TB$ 

# What if we use a stack?

```
findAllSubstrings(int n)
{
   push empty string on the stack
   while(stack is not empty){
      let current_string = pop and add to result
      if(size of current_string < n){
        for(each character ch)//every character in alphabet
            append ch to current_string and push it
      }
    }
   return result;
}</pre>
```





66 66

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

**"BA"** 

"**A**"

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

### { "","B","BB","BA"}

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

"**A**A"

**"AB"** 

"**A**"

{ "","B","BB","BA","A"}

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### { "","B","BB","BA","A","AB"}

"**AB**"

#### { "","B","BB","BA","A","AB","AA"}

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)

**"AB"** 

"AA"

### { "","B","BB","BA","A","AB","AA"}

What's the difference?

"BA"

**"BB"** 

# Depth-First Search

Applications Detecting cycles in graphs Path finding Finding strongly connected components in graph

Same worst-case runtime analysis More space efficient than previous approach Does not explore options in increasing order of size

## Comparison

Breadth-First Search (using a queue)

Time O(26<sup>n</sup>)

Space O(26<sup>n</sup>)

Good for exploring options in increasing order of size when expecting to find "shallow" or "short" solution

Memory inefficient when must keep each "level" in memory Depth-First Search (using a stack)

Time O(26<sup>n</sup>)

Space O(n)

Explores each option individually to max size - does NOT list options by increasing size

More memory efficient

# Other ADTs
Double ended queue (deque)



Double ended queue (deque)



Double ended queue (deque)



Double ended queue (deque)

Double ended queue (deque)



Double ended queue (deque)

Double ended queue (deque)



Double ended queue (deque)

Double ended queue (deque)



In STL :

- does not use contiguous memory
- more complex to implement (keep track of memory blocks)
- grows more efficiently than vector

In STL :

- does not use contiguous memory
- more complex to implement (keep track of memory blocks)
- grows more efficiently than vector

In STL stack and queue are *adapters* of deck

### In STL :

- does not use contiguous memory
- more complex to implement (keep track of memory blocks)
- grows more efficiently than vector

In STL stack and queue are *adapters* of deque

STL standardized the use of "push" and "pop", adapting with "push\_back", "push\_front" etc. for all containers

#### Low Priority

**High Priority** 

A queue of items "sorted" by priority

Α

#### Low Priority

**High Priority** 

A queue of items "sorted" by priority

Α

#### Low Priority

**High Priority** 

A queue of items "sorted" by priority

D



#### Low Priority

**High Priority** 

A queue of items "sorted" by priority



### Low Priority

**High Priority** 

A queue of items "sorted" by priority

X



#### Low Priority

**High Priority** 

A queue of items "sorted" by priority



#### Low Priority

A queue of items "sorted" by priority

**High Priority** 





Low Priority

**High Priority** 

A queue of items "sorted" by priority

If value indicates priority, it amounts to a sorted list that accesses/removes the "highest" items first



Orders elements by priority => removing an element will return the element with highest priority value

Elements with same priority kept in queue order (in some implementations)

Spoiler Alert!!!!

Often implemented with a Heap

Will tell you what it is in soon... but it is another example of <u>ADT vs data structure</u>